

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,453	07/18/2006	Matthew David Osborne	BJS-620-412	4519	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 03/23/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			MARVICH, MARIA		
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/567,453	OSBORNE ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
MARIA B. MARVICH	1633				
	10/567,453 Examiner	10/567,453 OSBORNE ET AL. Examiner Art Unit			

	MARIA B. MARVICH	1633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 G periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavities (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) \(\begin{align*} The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A on event, however, will the statutory prior for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.077	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office the area of the set of the NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 \(\)\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\) They are not deemed to place the application in bet sobel: and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. Mean For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that so the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-0.33-41 and 43-50.		l be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 			
 Other: Applicants request for acknowledgement on a sign. Shows two IDS that were considered, one filed 10/31/03 			

ISR have been considered as demonstrated by the initials. Both IDS have been signed and returned to applicant. However, it is herein

/Maria B Marvich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

confirmed that the ISR submissions have been considered.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20100317

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants claims were previously drawn to a method of in vitro culture of a myleoma cell line comprising inoculating the cell in medium comprising inor or fertine ammonium citrate at concentrations of 01064-3.1 mg/L or 0.4 to 20 mg/L. Applicants have thus amended the claims to create a new ratio of allowable iron or ferric ammonium citrate that requires new consideration of the art. Furthermore, the ratio recited in claim 1 leads to consideration of issues of new matter as well as 112 second. In the first instance, the specification does not disclose a range of 0.064 to 3.1.. As to the alter, the ratio ocludes the dependent claim 4 which falls outside of the range.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants have traversed the rejection under 35 USC 102 First, applicants argue that aside from falling outside of the new range for ferric ammonium cirate contentation does not demonstrate growth of the culture, which according to the specification is described by at least a doubling or preferably a tripling. However, the art teaches that in vitro cultured myeloma cells can have a doubling time of about 35 hours, hence within 40 hours, one would expect the cells to have doubled. As to Gorfien et al, applicants argue that the reference does not teach that the cells were grown in agitated suspension culture. Gorfien et al is directed to a cell culture median for in vitro culturation of cells in suspension. Specifically cell care teach that the taught media can be used specifically to culture these cells. Wheli Gorfien et at teach that "For suspension cultivation, cells are typically suspended in the present culture media and introduced into a culture vessel that facilitates cultivation of the cells in suspension, such as a spinner flask, perfusion apparatus, or bioreactor (see Freshney, R. I., Culture of Animal Cells: A Manual of Basic Technique, New York: Alan R. Liss, Inc., pp. 123-125 (1983)). Ideally, agitation of the media and the suspended cells will be minimized to avoid denaturation of media components and shearing of the cells during cultivation." It is noted that myeloma cells are grown in suspension and hence suspected to the media and culturing conditions taught as a whole by Gorfien et al. While Gorfien et al. teach that atgliation is keep to a minimum, there is no absence of agitation. Hence, as a whole Gorfien et al teach methods of culturing myeloma cells using media meeting the conditions of the isnant claims wherien the cells are grown i.e. in spinner flasks. Spinner flasks and other forms of culturing for suspension cells require that as one afternation the present culture media and the surface of a spinner